High Court Hints Easing Of Disclosure of Bugging

Grants Hoffa and Clay New Hearings -Indicates Files in Intelligence Cases May Not Have to Be Opened

By FRED P. GRAHAM Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 24-jing champion who prefers to The Supreme Court indicated be known as Muhammad Ali, today that the Justice Depart- and James R. Hoffa, president ment might yet be spared the of the International Brothernecessity of disclosing the tran-hood of Teamsters, and a dozen scripts of conversations over-heard in foreign intelligence of Government eavesdropping eavesdropping.

In two brief, unsigned opin- However, no ions and a tart concurring were reversed by the Supreme opinion by Justice Potter Stew-Court, and today's decisions art, the Court told the Justice made it appear that defendants' Department that its top offi-rights to see Government cials had become unduly con-eavesdrop transcripts were to cerned that a Court decision be more restricted than the on March 10 would force the ruling on March 10 had seemed Government to disclose intelli- to say. gence secrets.

sideration in the lower courts Byron R. White, that any crimappeals brought by Cassius Clay, former heavyweight box- Continued on Page 26, Column 1

had been raised.

In that decision the Court The Court sent back for con-ruled, in an opinion by Justice

High Court Indicates an Easing Of Disclosure in Eavesdropping

inal defendant who had been overheard over an illegal Government listening device must tance is certain to the Suprement listening device must tance is certain to the Suprement tance is certain be shown transcripts of his

lance from the decision. Other-whether or not his voice ap-wise, he said, the Government peared. may stop informing the Su-Nothing in the decision of preme Court of some of its March 10 "requires an adver-

ter Stewart said that a careful reading of the opinion of March 10 would show that while the Court had acted on "illegal" level the judges will examine

intelligence listening devices case.

Further Test Expected
This statement indicates that the Justice Department may eventually get all that it asked in the petition for rehearing, even though the petition was united. States, that Government hinted, without expressly saying so, that some of its "foreign intelligence" eavesdropping had involved wiretapping of foreign embassies here. It asked the volute to make a special exception.

eavesdropping is not unconsti-tutional under circumstances in which Government eavesdrop-men convicted of importing 209 upheld today by a 6-to-3 vote

Continued From Page 1, Col. 3 ping for other purposes would be, a question of this importance is certain to be appealed to the Supreme Court for the

The Justice Department won be shown transcripts of his conversations.

Last week Attorney General John N. Mitchell told a Senate subcommittee that the ruling could compromise some national security secrets, Solicitor General Erwin N. Griswold filed a petition for a rehearing, asking the Court to exclude foreign intelligence surveillance from the decision. Other-

sary proceeding and full dis-Careful Reading Suggested
Today the Court refused to re

Closure for resolution of every issue raised by an electronic surveillance," the Court de-

court had acted on "illegal" level the judges will examine avesdropping, it had not said whether or not survelliance in search of foreign intelligence in formation was illegal.

"One might suppose that all of this should be entirely clrar to any careful reader of the Court's opinion," Justice Stewart said. "But 10 years of experience here have taught me that the most carefully written with the answer to both questions adjacent to the one in which the conviction on extortion charges of Thomas R. Kaiser, in Assau County in May, 1967 the court ruled on the ground that the wiretapping that led to Kaiser's conviction had been to the Waldorf-Astoria Hotel in New York, and took a room that the most carefully written to both questions adjacent to the one in which the conviction on extortion charges of Thomas R. Kaiser, in Nassau County in May, 1967 the court ruled on the ground that the wiretapping that led to Kaiser's conviction had been to the Waldorf-Astoria Hotel in New York, and took a room adjacent to the one in which

Fourth Amendment, the Court had never ruled one way or the other on the subject.

The Government, Justice Stewart said, will not necessarily be required to disclose the transcrip of "foreign intelligence" wiretaps when the cases reach the trial courts.

The trial judges will examine the transcrips secretly, Justice Stewart said, and will disclose them only if they conclude that this type of surveillance is unconstitutional.

Further Test Expected

Contended in each instance that Stewart that the Katz decision would be applied only to sur-would be applied only to sur-would be applied only to sur-would be applied only to sur-while resting a motel here be-fore making an appearance at black arts festival at North Police, the primary purpose of the fusing to submit to induction into the Army. Hoffa is serving an object the surveillance by the primary purpose of the fusing to submit to induction into the Army. Hoffa is serving an object the said black arts festival at North Ratz rule was to deter unconstitutional surveillance by the police, there are no overriding reactions the fusing to submit to induction into the Army. Hoffa is serving an eight-year sentence for five years' imprison funds.

Because the eavesdropping in the Waldorf-Astoria was in 1965, the convictions were upheld. The appellants, who repension funds.

Further Test Expected

Court to make a special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the following special exception for such surveillance and the following special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such surveillance and the following special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such surveillance. The first special exception for such surveillance and the first special exception for such special exception for s





CASES TO BE RECONSIDERED: The cases of James R. Hoffa, left, teamsters' president, and Muhammad Ali, former boxing champion, were sent back to lower courts after questions of Government's eavesdropping were raised.

Court's opinion," Justice Stewart said. "But 10 years of experience here have taught me that the most carefully written opinions are not always carefully read—even by those most directly concerned."

Justice Stewart said that although Mr. Griswold had "mystifyingly" sought to concede in oral arguments that the foreign intelligence listening devices

Both Answers Must Be Yes

If the judges conclude that New York, and took a room diagraction to the one in which the five men were discussing the transaction. The agents recorded their conversation by a microphone placed at the bottom of the door separating the rooms. According to the agents of the device did not penetrate into the suspects' room.

Clay Is 'Thankful'

Clay Is 'Thankful'

Clay Is 'Thankful'

DENTON, Tex., March 24

(UPI)—Cassius Clay said today that he was "thankful" for the suspects' room.

The Supreme Court ruled to-Supreme Court decision that

Fourth Amendment, the Court had never ruled one way or the other on the subject.

The Government has Jine Supreme Court ruled to-Supreme Court decision that day in an opinion by Justice ordered a rehearing of his constant the surveillance did not affect the other on the subject.